

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH  
**E-CIRCULAR**

---

Number E-C019

December 2000

**Urban Street Symposium**  
*Conference Proceedings*

**Dallas, Texas**  
**June 28–30, 1999**

# **Urban Street Symposium** *Conference Proceedings*

**Dallas, Texas  
June 28–30, 1999**

Sponsored by  
**TRB COMMITTEE ON GEOMETRIC DESIGN (A2A02)  
TRB COMMITTEE ON OPERATIONAL EFFECTS OF GEOMETRICS (A3A08)**

**Transportation Research Board  
National Research Council  
2101 Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20418**

Richard Cunard, TRB Staff Representative  
Robert Hilterbrand, Program Assistant

Subscriber categories  
2A highway and facility design  
4A highway operations, capacity, and traffic control

**TRB website: [national-academies.org/trb](http://national-academies.org/trb)**

---

The **Transportation Research Board** is a unit of the National Research Council, a private, nonprofit institution that is the principal operating agency of the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. Under a congressional charter granted to the National Academy of Sciences, the National Research Council provides scientific and technical advice to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities.

The Transportation Research Board is distributing this Circular to make the information contained herein available for use by individual practitioners in state and local transportation agencies, researchers in academic institutions, and other members of the transportation research community. The information in this Circular was taken directly from the submissions of the authors and has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board. This document is not a report of the National Research Council or the National Academy of Sciences.

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH  
**E-CIRCULAR**

---

Number E-C019

December 2000

**Urban Street Symposium**  
*Conference Proceedings*

**Dallas, Texas**  
**June 28–30, 1999**

# **Urban Street Symposium** *Conference Proceedings*

**Dallas, Texas  
June 28–30, 1999**

Sponsored by  
**TRB COMMITTEE ON GEOMETRIC DESIGN (A2A02)  
TRB COMMITTEE ON OPERATIONAL EFFECTS OF GEOMETRICS (A3A08)**

**Transportation Research Board  
National Research Council  
2101 Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20418**

Richard Cunard, TRB Staff Representative  
Robert Hilterbrand, Program Assistant

Subscriber categories  
2A highway and facility design  
4A highway operations, capacity, and traffic control

**TRB website: [national-academies.org/trb](http://national-academies.org/trb)**

---

The **Transportation Research Board** is a unit of the National Research Council, a private, nonprofit institution that is the principal operating agency of the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. Under a congressional charter granted to the National Academy of Sciences, the National Research Council provides scientific and technical advice to the government, the public, and the scientific and engineering communities.

The Transportation Research Board is distributing this Circular to make the information contained herein available for use by individual practitioners in state and local transportation agencies, researchers in academic institutions, and other members of the transportation research community. The information in this Circular was taken directly from the submissions of the authors and has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board. This document is not a report of the National Research Council or the National Academy of Sciences.

# the Urban Street Symposium

*for planners, engineers and urban street design professionals*

June 28–30, 1999 in Dallas, Texas  
*Uptown, Downtown, or Small Town:  
Designing Urban Streets That Work*

## INTRODUCTION

The Urban Street Symposium was held at the Adolphus Hotel in Dallas, Texas, on June 28–30, 1999. About 200 planners, engineers, and other urban street design professionals attended to hear presentations about numerous urban street design topics, ranging from designing for those with disabilities to flexible and neo-traditional street design.

The Urban Street Symposium was conceived with the goal of achieving the following objectives.

1. Provide a forum for comparison and debate of alternative urban street design practices.
2. Highlight problems caused by certain design practices, and better alternatives.
3. Promote applications of research.
4. Reconsider long-held urban street design practices in light of the “new urbanism” movement.
5. Document better urban street design practices.
6. Show “how to do it.”
7. Share innovations with others.
8. Transfer urban street research findings to state agencies and to local governments.

The principal sponsors of the meeting were Transportation Research Board (TRB) committees A2A02 (Geometric Design) and A3A08 (Operational Effects of Geometrics). Cosponsors were:

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)
- American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), Geometric Design and Operations Committee
- Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Traffic Engineering Council and Texas Section of ITE
- National Association of County Engineers (NACE)
- TRB Committee A1D07, Access Management

Members of the Symposium oversight group included:

Robert Behar of Carr Smith Corradino, Miami, FL  
Kay Fitzpatrick of Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX  
J. L. Gattis (chair) of University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR  
David R. Loutzenheiser of Federal Transit Administration, Cambridge, MA

Christopher Poe of Texas Transportation Institute, College Station, TX  
 Larry Shannon of Ohio Dept. of Transportation, Columbus, OH

The TRB staff liaison was Richard Cunard. The chairmen of the sponsoring TRB committees were Daniel Fambro, Chairman of A2A02, and Douglas Harwood, Chairman of A3A08.

## **PROGRAM CONTENT**

The Urban Street Symposium program included the following sessions, beginning Monday morning and continuing until noon Wednesday.

Monday, June 28, 1999

8:30–9:00	Welcome and Opening Remarks
9:00–11:15	Urban Street Design: Different Perspectives
11:30–12:45	Lunch - George Black (National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, D.C.)
1:00–2:00	Public Involvement: Examples and Models
1:00–2:00	Layout and Functional Classification: Different Paradigms Part A
2:15–5:00	Layout and Functional Classification: Different Paradigms Part B
2:15–5:00	Access Management: The Best Idea Since the Interstate System

Tuesday, June 29, 1999

8:00–9:30	Street Speed: Management and Accommodation
8:00–9:30	Intersections: Where It All Comes Together
9:50–11:30	Cross Sections: Allocating the Width
9:50–11:30	ADA and Peds
1:00–5:00	Traffic Calming in the Dallas Area: Field Trip
1:00–5:00	Light Rail in the Urban Setting: Presentations and Field Trip
1:00–2:30	Safety and Design: Quality Control
3:00–5:00	Capacity Analysis and Urban Design Workshop: What It Can Do For You

Wednesday, June 30, 1999

8:00–10:00	Traffic Calming: Experiences and Examples
10:20–noon	Flexible and Neotraditional Designs: Pro and Con
Noon–12:15	Closing

Speakers were invited to submit papers for inclusion in the Symposium proceedings. The field trip and the workshop sessions were not conducive to being documented in a paper, and some presenters chose not to present final papers for publication. Those papers that were submitted have been included in the proceedings.

As the titles from the Urban Street Symposium program suggest, a wide variety of views were presented at the Symposium. Given the wide variety and sometimes conflicting views in the arena of urban street design at the end of the twentieth century, perhaps one of the most memorable remarks at the Symposium was one from a retired state transportation department engineer, who, when asked why he chose to attend the Urban Street Symposium, replied that he “was here to learn.”

# Contents

## **PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT: EXAMPLES AND MODELS**

<b>Citizen Transportation Planning: A Working Model</b>	<b>A-1</b>
Kristie Billiar, Minnesota Department of Transportation Karl Weissenborn, Minnesota Department of Transportation	

<b>Public Involvement in Median Projects</b>	<b>A-2</b>
Kristine M. Williams, University of South Florida	

## **LAYOUT AND FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION: DIFFERENT PARADIGMS**

<b>Street Design Guidelines for Healthy Neighborhoods</b>	<b>B-1</b>
Dan Burden, Walkable Communities, Inc.	

<b>Planning and Design of a Suburban Neotraditional Neighborhood</b>	<b>B-2</b>
Brian Wolshon, Louisiana State University James Wahl, City of Novi, Michigan	

<b>Designing Functional Streets That Contribute to Our Quality of Life</b>	<b>B-3</b>
Margaret A. Kubilins, Kubilins Transportation Group, Inc.	

<b>Linking Land Use and Transportation Through Street Design</b>	<b>B-4</b>
Tom Kloster, Metro–Portland James Daisa, Fehr & Peers Associates, Inc. Rich Ledbetter, Metro–Portland	

<b>Mobility-Friendly Street Standards for Delaware</b>	<b>B-5</b>
Reid Ewing, Fehr & Peers Associates, Inc./Surface Transportation Policy Project	

<b>Urban Roadway Classification: Before the Design Begins</b>	<b>B-6</b>
Gerry Forbes, Synectics Transportation Consultants, Inc.	

<b>Street Spacing and Scale</b>	<b>B-7</b>
Herbert S. Levinson, Transportation Consultant	

## **ACCESS MANAGEMENT: THE BEST IDEA SINCE THE INTERSTATE SYSTEM**

<b>Access Spacing and Accidents: A Conceptual Analysis</b>	<b>C-1</b>
Herbert S. Levinson, Transportation Consultant	

<b>Access Spacing and Traffic Safety</b>	<b>C-2</b>
Vassilios Papayannoulis, Urbitran Associates	
Jerome S. Gluck, Urbitran Associates	
Kathleen Feeney, Urbitran Associates	
Herbert S. Levinson, Transportation Consultant	
<b>Driveway Spacing and Traffic Operations</b>	<b>C-3</b>
Jerome S. Gluck, Urbitran Associates	
Greg Haas, Urbitran Associates	
Jamal Mahmood, Urbitran Associates	
Herbert S. Levinson, Transportation Consultant	
<b>Public Perception of Median Treatment for Developed Urban Roads</b>	<b>C-4</b>
Karen K. Dixon, Georgia Institute of Technology	
John L. Hibbard, Cobb County Department of Transportation	
Chris Mroccka, Georgia Institute of Technology	
<b>Economic Impacts of Raised Medians on Adjacent Businesses</b>	<b>C-5</b>
William E. Frawley, Texas Transportation Institute	
William L. Eisele, Texas Transportation Institute	
<b>STREET SPEED: MANAGEMENT AND ACCOMMODATION</b>	
<b>Continuous Speed Measurement of Individual Drivers on Suburban Arterials</b>	<b>D-1</b>
Mark D. Wooldridge, Texas Transportation Institute	
Kay Fitzpatrick, Texas Transportation Institute	
<b>Speed Profiles: A Method for Analyzing Speed Adaptation by Car Drivers</b>	<b>D-2</b>
Joachim Karlgen, Chalmers University of Technology	
<b>Urban Street Cross Section and Speed Issues</b>	<b>D-3</b>
J. L. Gattis, University of Arkansas	
<b>INTERSECTIONS: WHERE IT ALL COMES TOGETHER</b>	
<b>The Split Intersection: A Solution for a Congested Intersection</b>	<b>E-1</b>
Joe G. Bared, Federal Highway Administration	
Evangelos I. Kaiser, University of Maryland	
<b>Right-Turn Treatment for Signalized Intersections</b>	<b>E-2</b>
Karen K. Dixon, Georgia Institute of Technology	
John L. Hibbard, Cobb County Department of Transportation	
Heather Nyman, Georgia Institute of Technology	

<b>Unconventional Left-Turn Alternatives for Urban and Suburban Arterials: An Update</b>	<b>E-3</b>
Joseph E. Hummer, North Carolina State University Jonathan D. Reid, Parsons Brinkerhoff	

## **CROSS SECTIONS: ALLOCATING THE WIDTH**

<b>Curb Parking Findings Revisited</b>	<b>F-1</b>
Paul C. Box, Paul C. Box and Associates, Inc.	

<b>Downtown Streets: Are We Strangling Ourselves on One-Way Networks?</b>	<b>F-2</b>
G. Wade Walker, Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin Lopez Rinehart, Inc. Walter M. Kulash, Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin Lopez Rinehart, Inc. Brian T. McHugh, Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin Lopez Rinehart, Inc.	

<b>Design Considerations for Existing Utility Poles in Urban Areas</b>	<b>F-3</b>
C. Paul Scott, Federal Highway Administration	

<b>The Conversion of Four-Lane Undivided Urban Roadways to Three-Lane Facilities</b>	<b>F-4</b>
Thomas M. Welch, Iowa Department of Transportation	

## **ADA AND PEDS**

<b>Pedestrian Level of Service Based on Trip Quality</b>	<b>G-1</b>
Frank Jaskiewicz, Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin Lopez Rinehart, Inc.	

## **SAFETY AND DESIGN: QUALITY CONTROL**

<b>Application of Road Safety Audits to Urban Streets</b>	<b>H-1</b>
Eugene M. Wilson, University of Wyoming Martin E. Lipinski, University of Memphis	

<b>Predicting Annual Intersection Accidents with Conflict Opportunities</b>	<b>H-2</b>
A. R. Kaub, Traffic Safety Software, LLC J. A. Kaub, Traffic Safety Software, LLC	

## **TRAFFIC CALMING: EXPERIENCES AND EXAMPLES**

<b>Impacts of Traffic Calming</b>	<b>I-1</b>
Reid Ewing, Fehr & Peers Associates, Inc./Surface Transportation Policy Project	

<b>Arterial Speed Calming: Mohawk Road Case Study</b>	<b>I-2</b>
Gerry Forbes, Synectics Transportation Consultants, Inc. Ted Gill, Transportation Department, Region of Hamilton-Wentworth	

<b>Calming New York City Intersections</b>	<b>I-3</b>
Michael R. King, New York City Department of Transportation	
<b>Speed Management Techniques for Collectors and Arterials</b>	<b>I-4</b>
Angelia H. Parham, Texas Transportation Institute Kay Fitzpatrick, Texas Transportation Institute	
<b>West Palm Beach Traffic Calming: The Second Generation</b>	<b>I-5</b>
Timothy Stillings, City of West Palm Beach Ian Lockwood, City of West Palm Beach	
<b>FLEXIBLE AND NEOTRADITIONAL DESIGNS: PRO AND CON</b>	
<b>Questionable Concepts in Neotraditional Subdivision Design</b>	<b>J-1</b>
Paul C. Box, Paul C. Box & Associates, Inc.	
<b>Neotraditional Design: Mobility for All Ages</b>	<b>J-2</b>
Michelle DeRobertis, Wilbur Smith Associates	
<b>Developing Street Standards That Allow Flexibility</b>	<b>J-3</b>
Gwen Owens, City of Sacramento	
<b>A Practitioner's Perspective on Flexible Design</b>	<b>J-4</b>
James O. Brewer, Kansas Department of Transportation	
<b>APPENDIX</b>	
<b>Symposium Program</b>	<b>K-1</b>