COMBATING CRIME AND CITIZEN ATTITUDES: A STUDY OF THE CORRESPONDING REALITY

EDWARD B. LEWIS

Assistant Professor Department of Urban Studies

TOMMY T. SULLIVAN

Assistant Professor Department of Criminal Justice University of Alabama in Birmingham Birmingham. Alabama 35294

ABSTRACT

The effectiveness of crime deterrence projects such as increased street lighting in ghetto environments has been questioned. The present study not only examines whether increased street lighting in fact reduces crime, but also investigates the attitudes towards local government of citizens who live within that particular environment. The findings illustrate that it would be advisable for governments to address citizen attitudes before undertaking certain crime prevention projects.

Since the late sixties there has been an increasing focus on crime, its ramifications in the community, and the corresponding reaction by government to eradicate the problem. The literature abounds with attempts to prevent crime, especially through the use of such concepts as "impact areas" or "high crime areas." and through the advocacy of technical and administrative innovations such as increased street lighting and foot patrols. The philosophy of such terminology mandates local governments to an active role in combating crime.

In this mandate, city governments provide services, among them safety and protection, to meet the needs of urban residents. Many times these residents have few or no

established communication lines with governmental officials. The problem is aptly identified in *The Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders* (1968:284), which stated, "There are two groups of people that live constantly with the problems of the city: the public officials and the poor, particularly the residents of the racial ghetto."

The San Diego Model Cities Program (1970) further described widespread beliefs associated with the extension of governmental control and influence, specifically with the police. The study cited several factors that the ghetto community felt were contributing to the insensitivity of police toward minorities—what Kuykendall (1970) refers to as "negative contact situations." Those listed included the consideration of police and their tactics as symbolic of white justice that was further heightened through harassment and higher arrest rates.

Clark and Sykes (1974) reported that two general sources of controversy center on the police as a symbol of government. The first concerns itself with the representativeness and responsiveness of local governments and their police services. The second source of controversy concerns whether decisions on the focus of police services are most appropriately made by professional police personnel or by politicians, who are allegedly more sensitive to community concerns.

Both points identified above play an important role in determining citizen attitudes. The key to peace and order in the community depends on the responsiveness of governmental institutions to the needs and demands of its citizenry (Ostrom and Whitaker, 1973). Studies by Jacob (1970) and Klyman and Kruckenberg (1974) have indicated a wide variation in attitudes when one examines community perceptions of police. The data indicate that ghetto residents generally possess negative attitudes about police tactics.

In examining issues related to the above governmental (police) and community relations, it is imperative to examine the structural nature of the problem and the reality that lies behind it. The present study attempts to investigate such issues.

RESEARCH DESIGN

Hypotheses

This research project was designed to test the hypotheses that: (I) increased street lighting is associated with a reduction in crime, and (2) that this highly visible policy action on the part of the city government would lead to improved citizen attitudes toward city government, the police, and the safety of their neighborhood.

To test these hypotheses, the researchers focused attention on one specified neighborhood (hereafter referred to as Impact South Area) in Fort Worth. Texas. The Fort Worth City Council had decided that in June, 1973, Impact South Area would receive a threefold increase in street lighting, completely unannounced. A pretest and post-test design was devised to measure citizen attitudes toward the city government, the police, and the safety of their neighborhood. Additionally, crime statistics were aggregated for the Impact South Area from January. 1971. to June. 1974.

Methodology

Sample Selection and Analysis. Immediately prior to the light installation, a dozen police trainees (black and white) were briefed on survey research techniques and sent into the Impact South Area to administer attitude questionnaires to specific addresses

that had been preselected randomly by the researchers according to standard sampling techniques. The interviewers dressed in casual street clothes and informed the respondents that they were conducting a survey for a university professor (which was true). Respondents were assured that the questionnaire was voluntary and confidential, and that it would take only ten to fifteen minutes of their time. The interviewers sought adults and did not interview anyone under age sixteen. A post-test was administered under identical circumstances seven months later. The pretest was administered to 111 respondents; the post-test to 118 respondents for a total N=229.

Both pre- and post-test samples were characteristic of the Impact South Area, i.e., overwhelmingly black (98 percent), low-income (63 percent earned \$4,000 a year or less, only 5 percent earned \$9,000 or more), poorly educated (67 percent had not finished high school), older (73 percent were over thirty; 23 percent over sixty-five), and long tenured (only 5 percent had lived in the area less than five years). The samples were almost evenly balanced on all personal data except for the fact that the pretest sample was 49 percent male where as the post-test sample was only 39 percent male. Thus, the sample was fairly typical of many stable, traditional minority areas, but somewhat atypical of the larger, more recent urban "ghettos" that tend to have a somewhat younger and more transient population. On the one hand, one might expect less "radical" opinions from an older, more stable population; but on the other hand, one also might expect to encounter long held and relatively intractable attitudes of cynicism and negativism on the part of a populace that was accustomed to being shunted aside and ignored (Jaros. Hirsch, and Fleron 1968; Klyman and Kruckenberg. 1974).

THE IMPACT OF MASSIVE STREETLIGHT INSTALLATION

Crime Rates: Reality

To evaluate the impact of higher intensity illumination on crime, a statistical comparison of selected criminal activity was developed for the Impact South Area, an adjacent area with similar demographic characteristics, and the city as a whole. Statistics collected from January, 1971. through May. 1974, on homicide, rape, robbery, assault, burglary (private residence), theft (bicycle), theft (automobile accessories), breaking and entering (auto), theft (from persons), and theft (auto) were aggregated and examined for the three areas mentioned above.

A comparative analysis of criminal activity within the three areas six months prior to and six months immediately after activating the streetlights revealed a 31 percent decline within the impact South Area, compared with an increase of 5 percent in the adjacent control area, and an increase of 17 percent in the city as a whole. When statistics for the one-year period immediately preceding and following the light installation are examined, it is revealed that criminal activity within the Impact South Area declined 22 percent compared with an increase of 9 percent for the control area and a 1 percent decline in the city as a whole. Furthermore, analysis of crimes solely against property showed a 39 percent decrease overall for the Impact South Area, with a 37 percent decrease in burglaries of private residences and a 50 percent decrease in auto thefts. However, robbery increased by 50 percent and there was no overall change in crimes against the person.

TABLE 1 CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS AND CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY IN IMPACT SOUTH AREA

Time Period	Crimes Against Persons			Crimes Against Properly		
December. 1972- May. 1973	Homicide Rape Assault Robbery	TOTAL	2 4 11 10 27	Burglary (Private Residence) Theft (Bicycle) Auto Accessories Breaking and Entering (Auto) Theft from Person Auto Theft		76 3 0 It 2 18
June, 1973- November, 1973	Homicide Rape Assault Robbery	TOTAL	1 I 10 15 27	Burglary (Private Residence) Theft (Bicycle) Auto Accessories Breaking and Entering (Auto) Theft from Person Auto Theft	TOTAL	48 0 1 8 1 9
Percentage Change fi Previous Period	rom		0%	;	TOTAL	-39 ^r f

From the above analysis, it would appear that decreases in criminal activity in the Impact South Area were closely connected with increased street illumination. This relationship is particularly evident in crimes against property, and the declines in general were far greater than would have been expected from an analysis of other areas and from the crime trend in the area the preceding year. These findings also tend to support common wisdom and recent theories concerning methods of deterring criminal activity in urban areas. However, an examination of selected criminal activity for 1972 and 1973 indicated a steady drop in crime rates in the Impact South Area at least a year prior to the streetlight installation. This fact, combined with actual increases in certain crime categories after installation, cast considerable doubt on whether the increased lighting had any causal effect at all. Thus, the hypothesis that street lighting can be associated with a reduction of crime is highly tenuous.¹

Attitudes: Perceptions of Reality

General Attitudes. In general, attitudes concerning city government, the police, and the perceived safety of the Impact South Area leave much to be desired, but probably are not atypical of the attitudes of persons living in the low-income, high-crime, black

TABLE 2
SELECTED CRIMINAL ACTIVITY

Time Period	Impact South Area	Percentage Change	Adjacent Area	Percentage Change	City	Percentage Change
197]	370		98	_	17,089	
1972	270	-27	84	- 14	15,736	- 8
1973	225	-17	88	+ 5	15,426	-2
December, 1972- May,]973 ^a June, 1973-	137	_	41	_	7.053	_
November, 1973"	93	-31	43	+ 5	8,241	+ 17
May 1, 1972- May 1, 1973 ¹ June 1, 1973- June I, 1974"	261 205	- -22	80 87	 +9	15,175 15,004	— i

[&]quot;The six months immediately before activating the streetlights.

neighborhoods of our urban areas (see Jaros, Hirsch. and Fleron, 1968; Finifter, 1970; Rossi, Burk, and Eidson, 1974; Banfield, 1974).

As a whole, the respondents: displayed little trust and confidence in city government and the people running it; considered that they had little influence in city affairs; exhibited considerable cynicism toward the police; and perceived their neighborhood to be quite unsafe, with more than one-third holding the attitude that the neighborhood was not as safe as other neighborhoods in the city.

Thus, the project dealt with an area in which people held a rather low opinion of city government in general, and of the police and the relative safety of their neighborhood in particular. The crucial question now becomes: Did any of the attitudes change following streetlight installation, and if so, which ones?

Pretest and Post-test Attitudinal Comparison. In attitudes toward the city government, the post-test respondents seem even more cynical and distrusting of the city than before, with the exception of the question about the running of the city for a few vested interests. Furthermore, having just been granted a visible service (increased street lighting) that the neighborhood council had been requesting for years, one might anticipate feelings of efficacy to increase. Such was not the case in general, although the post-test sample did exhibit slightly more favorable attitudes toward the city being sincerely concerned with helping and serving blacks (but there was only a 5 percent increase in these positive responses).

For post-test attitudes toward the police, perceptions of police honesty fell while perceptions of being treated fairly rose. Perhaps more important, perceptions that the

[&]quot;The six months immediately after activating the streetlights.

^{&#}x27;The year immediately before activating the streetlights.

d'The year immediately after activating the streetlights.

TABLE 3 $\label{eq:respondent}$ RESPONDENT ATTITUDES TOWARD CITY GOVERNMENT POLICE AND NEIGHBORHOOD SAFETY

Item	Response Category	Pretest Sample {%)"	Post-Test Sample f#J ^a	Combined Sample \%) ^A
City Government	<u> </u>			
Trust people in city	always	63	54	5 9
government ¹ '	some	29	45	i I
80	never	8	ì	4
People in city government	a lot	35	32	34
waste dollars ¹ '	some	45	5 4	50
Love doring	not much	20	14	16
People running city	yes	52	54	$\bar{5}\bar{3}$
government smart ¹ '	no	48	46	<i>47</i>
City government run	yes	72	49	47 60 40 47 29 24 46 29 25
for big interests ¹ '	no	28	51	40
Family and I have no	agree	46	49	47
say in government'	disagree	30	25	$\overline{29}$
•	don't know	24	26	$\tilde{2}\dot{4}$
City government does	agree	49	42	46
not care about people	disagree	29	29	29
in area ¹ '	don't know	22	19	25
City government truly	yes	67	72	70
concerned with helping blacks ¹¹	no	33	28	30
Police		19	14	17
Honesty of police	more			
compared to most ¹ '	same	57	61 25	59 24
T. 1 1 1	less	24 62	25 67	24 65
Likely to be treated	yes			$\frac{05}{35}$
fairly by police'	no	38	33	33
Police Department		60	żi	::
making a sincere effort	yes	68	64 36	66 34
to reduce crime"	no	32 48	36	34 47
Police respond quickly	yes	46 52	46 54	53
5	no		34 7	33 10
Police and police depart-	avg +	14		$6\overline{2}$
ment serve neighborhood ¹	avg	62 24	62	62 28
Confederate of Maria I. Lands and	avg-	<i>2</i> 4	31	28
Safety of Neighborhood		67	60	68
Recommend neighborhood	yes	67	69	
as place to live"	no	33	31	32
How safe feel at night ¹ '	safe	48	47 52	47 52
	unsafe	52	53	53

TABLE 3 Continued					
How often go out at	nightly	12	21	17	
night	4x/uk	24	6	15	
	3x/Vk	11	17	14	
	2x/uk	6	8	6	
	1 or less	48	48	48	
Let younger children play	usually	13	11	12	
ou! after dark ¹	some	IS	13	17	
	never	69	76	71	
Neighborhood safe as	yes	60	69	65	
any other in city ¹	no	40	31	36	
How-safe you think	very	4	4	Ş	
neighbors feel at	some	47	37	42	
night ¹ '	unsafe	49	60	54	
General					
City government mostly					
helps and gives	yes	64	63	64	
service to people'	no	36	37	36	

[•] Percentages rounded off to the nearest integer and therefore may not total exactly one hundred.

police department was making a sincere effort to reduce crime in the neighborhood fell by 4 percent: perceptions of quick response time fell by 2 percent; and perceptions that the police department served their neighborhood well declined by 7 percent.

For the critical variables concerning post-test perceptions of neighborhood safety, the evidence is somewhat mixed. Two percent more of the post-test respondents would recommend their neighborhood as a good place to live, and 9 percent more felt that their neighborhood was as safe as any other. However, there was a decided decrease: in allowing young children to play outside after dark: in the number of times respondents ventured out at night (although a higher percentage of female respondents in the post-test sample could account for some of this difference); and in how safe respondents felt at night in the neighborhood. Also, almost half of the sample (49 percent) still ventured out at night only once a week or less. But, while the evidence was mixed, the fact still remained that positive post-test attitudinal increases towards safety were not particularly large and that there were actual decreases in several areas (hardly an overwhelming perceptual recognition of the fact that a service had been rendered and that the crime rate was steadily decreasing in the area).

SUMMARY

This study sought to demonstrate (1) that increased street lighting is associated with decreased criminal activity, and (2) that highly visible policy actions by city government will be reflected in attitude changes on the part of the citizens in the affected area.

^{&#}x27;Significant at the 0.01 level

^{&#}x27;Significant at the 0.05 level

There are several limitations affecting this data: (I) random selection, however carefully contrived, does not assure a truly representative sample; (2) there is no absolute guarantee that the pre- and post-test samples are equally matched attitudinally; (3) uncontrolled, intervening variables may be present; and (4) there is always the possibility that historical, ecological, and environmental circumstances peculiar to this small an area render generalization to the larger (or even a similar) population a risky proposition.

The first hypothesis does not appear to have been proved. Criminal activity did decrease disproportionately in the target area after intensive streetlight installation, but this decrease must be viewed as part of a general decline in the area over a longer period. Caution also must be exercised in attributing the cause of the post-installation decreases to the lights alone. Other factors (such as unwittingly intense police action) also could be contributing causes. Additionally, a two-year comparison is perhaps too short a period from which to draw solid conclusions.

The hypothesis on attitude changes also must be rejected in the final analysis. In fact, the results of the pre- and post-test attitudinal survey are rather disappointing. Crime obviously was decreasing, yet the bulk of the perceptual data indicates a slight, negative change. Whatever the consequences of increased street lighting, apparently it failed to make much of an impression on the recipients of this paiticular policy output.

If nothing else, this modest study has demonstrated that reality and perceptions of the same are not always congruent. More importantly, it has demonstrated that the intended impact of a particular city policy may turn out to be questionable at best. unrecognized and unappreciated at worst.

CONCLUSIONS

From this study, one might raise the issue of whether it is better for a city government to work at altering reality or at altering citizens' perceptions of reality, or both. This statement may seem cynical, but as Banfield (1974) and others have aptly pointed out. citizens' expectations of governmental services may be more important than addressing whether or not government can (or does) provide such services (Edelman. 1971; also see discussions of the "Hawthorne effect" in Roethlisberger and Dickson. 1939). Perhaps the safe (and ethical) solution is to provide both the service and the public information to the residents. Fortified with increased feelings of efficacy and community pride, citizen action could possibly turn the hoped-for effect into a self-fulfilling prophecy. However, one must also entertain the possibility that negative attitudes generated and supported over a long period of time may be difficult or impossible to change, at least in a short period of time. Either way, the problem presents a difficult but important challenge to city government, and one that should be addressed before relations and conditions worsen (see Pressman and Wildavsky. 1973; *Time*, August'20, 1977).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

These statistics were compiled by the Research Division of the For! Worth. Texas. Police Department. The authors are grateful to Ihe FWPD for the use of this material.

NOTE

The President's task force on science and technology (1967) reported that there was no conclusive evidence that improved streetlighting by itself will effectively reduce crime. Methodologically, there exist many extraneous variables that interfere with definitive conclusions on the effectiveness of such programs in reducing crime. However, in a study of lighting in Kansas City (Caplan, 1976), the National Institute of Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice reported significant decreases in street crime after street lighting improvement. Thus, the evidence is mixed.

REFERENCES

Banfield, E.C. (1974). The unheavenly city revisited. Boston: Little, Brown and Co.

Caplan, G-M. (1976). New directions in criminal justice research. In *Contemporary issues in criminal justice*, ed. R.J. Gerber. Port Washington, NY: Kennikat Press, pp. 147-57.

Clark. J., and Sykes, R. (1974). Some determinants of police organization and practice in a modern industrial democracy. In *Handbook of criminology*, ed. D. Glaser. Chicago: Rand McNally.

Edelman, M. (1971). Politics as symbolic action: Mass arousal and quiescence. Chicago: Markham.

Finifter, A.W. (1970). Dimensions of political alienation. *American political science review*, 64 (2):389-410.

Jacob, H. (1970). Black and white perceptions of justice in the city. Law and society review, 6:69-90.

Jaros, D., Hirsch, H., and Fleron, F. (1968). The malevolent leader: Political socialization in an American subculture. *American political science review*, 62 (2):564-75.

Klyman, F., and Kruckenberg. J. (1974). A methodology for assessing citizen perceptions of police. *Journal of criminal justice*, 2 (3):219-33.

Kuykendall, J. (1970). Police and minority groups: Toward a theory of negative contacts. *Police*, 15 (Sept. -Oct.):47-56.

National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders (1968). Report of the National Advisory Commission on Civil Disorders. New York: Bantam Books.

Ostrom. E., and Whitaker. G. (1973). Does local community control of police make a difference? Some preliminary findings. *American journal of political science*, 17 (Feb.):48-76.

President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice (1967). *Task force report: Science and technology*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office

Pressman, J.L., and Wildavsky, A.B. (1973). *Implementation*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Roethlisberger, F.J., and Dickson, W.J. (1939). *Management and the worker*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Rossi, P.H., Burk, R.A., and Eidson, B.K. (1974). *The roots of urban discontent: Public policy, municipal institutions, and the ghetto.* New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Time (1977). The underclass. Time magazine, Aug. 20.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) (1970). San Diego model cities program. Office of Community Development. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Governmeni Printing Office,